

**In the United States Patent and Trademark Office**

Appn. Number: 10/092,074  
Appn. Filed: 03/06/2002  
Applicant: Stephen P. Maginas  
Title: Device and Method for Repetitive Communication of  
Messages  
Examiner: Stella L. Woo  
Art Unit: 2643

Mailed: 2005 November 30, Wednesday  
At: Rock Island, Illinois

**Response to Second Office Action**

Commissioner for Patents  
P. O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Commissioner:


Enclosed is my response to the Second Office Action and Final Rejection mailed 9/2/2005. This is also accompanied by a Petition to Group Director Mark Powell that the 9/2/05 response should not have been a Final Rejection as the Examiner added new grounds of rejection, while the response to the First Office Action did not add new matter. For that reason, the second office action should not have been Final.

In response to the Second Office Action mailed 9/2/2005, please amend the above-identified application as follows:

**Amendments to the Claims** are reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page 3 of this paper.

**Remarks/Arguments** begin on page 4 of this paper.

Very Respectfully,



Stephen P. Maginas  
3404 25<sup>th</sup> Street  
Rock Island, IL 61201  
309-794-6570  
Cell: 309-235-9616

Certificate of Mailing: I certify that on the date below this correspondence and referenced attachments, if any, will be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to "COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, P. O. BOX 1450, ALEXANDRIA, VA, 22313-1450."

2005 November 30   
Stephen P. Maginas, Applicant